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§ 2.8. VREyeParameters

The VREyeParameters interface represents all the information required to correctly render a scene for a given eye.

interface VREyeParameters {
    readonly attribute Float32Array offset;

    [SameObject] readonly attribute VRFieldOfView fieldOfView;

    readonly attribute unsigned long renderWidth;
    readonly attribute unsigned long renderHeight;
};

§ 2.8.1. Attributes

offset A three component vector describing the offset from the center point between the users eyes to the center of the eye in meters. The x component of this vector SHOULD represent half of the user’s interpupillary distance (IPD), but MAY also represent the vector from the center point of the headset to the center point of the lens for the given eye. Values in the x component for left eye MUST be negative; values in the x component for right eye MUST be positive. This information should not be used to construct a view matrix, prefer using the view matricies provided in VRFrameData instead.
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§ 2.8. VREyeParameters

The VREyeParameters interface represents all the information required to correctly render a scene for one eye.

```javascript
interface VREyeParameters {
  readonly attribute Float32Array offset;

  [SameObject] readonly attribute VRFIELDOFVIEW fieldOfView;

  readonly attribute unsigned long renderWidth;
  readonly attribute unsigned long renderHeight;
};
```

§ 2.8.1. Attributes

offset A three component vector describing the offset from the center point between the users eyes of the eye in meters. The x component of this vector SHOULD represent half of the user’s interpupillary distance (IPD), but MAY also represent the vector from the center point of the headset to the center point of the lens for the given eye. Values in the x component for left eye MUST be negative; values in the x component for right eye MUST be positive. This information should not be used to construct a view matrix, prefer using the view matrices provided in VRFramedata instead.
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Abstract

This document lists a set of questions one could ask about the security and privacy impact of a new feature or specification. It is meant as a tool that groups or individuals can use as a guide during a self-review, pointing towards important questions in areas where expertise might be lacking.
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W3C Privacy Interest Group (PING) offers guidance and reviews

The mission…is to improve the support of privacy in Web standards by:

1. Monitoring ongoing privacy issues that affect the Web
2. Investigating potential areas for new privacy work
3. Providing guidelines and advice for addressing privacy in standards development.

https://www.w3.org/2011/07/privacy-ig-charter
The Battery Status API

- **charge level**
  - value between 0 and 1
  - e.g. 0.43 if the battery at 43%
- **charging status**
  - boolean indicator
- **time to charge or discharge**
  - `dischargingTime`
  - `chargingTime`
  - time in seconds
The development and adoption of the API

Firefox adds support

WebKit adds support

Firefox rounding bug reported

Chrome adds support

API misuse in the wild

Leaking Battery report published

Uber study

Firefox 38 rounding bug fixed

Chrome rounding patch commit

W3C Prop. Rec.

Firefox 52 limits API to internal use

Yandex announces opt-in model

Blink bug moved to permissions component

Firefox and WebKit remove support

The development and adoption of the API
Mid 2012: Candidate Recommendation adds security and privacy considerations

3. Terminology

The `Function` interface represents a function in the scripting language being used as defined in [HTML5].

The concepts `queue a task` and `fires a simple event` are defined in [HTML5].

The terms `event handlers` and `event handler event types` are defined in [HTML5].

4. Security and privacy considerations

The API defined in this specification is used to determine the battery status of the hosting device. The information disclosed has minimal impact on privacy or fingerprinting, and therefore is exposed without permission grants. For example, authors cannot directly know if there is a battery or not in the hosting device.

5. NavigatorBattery Interface

The `NavigatorBattery` interface is exposed on the `Navigator` object.

```webidl
Navigator implements NavigatorBattery;
```
Mid 2012: Candidate Recommendation adds security and privacy considerations.

“the information disclosed has a minimal impact on privacy or fingerprinting”
New research exposes multiple privacy vulnerabilities

The leaking battery
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Abstract. We highlight privacy risks associated with the HTML5 Battery Status API. We put special focus on its implementation in the Firefox browser. Our study shows that websites can discover the capacity of users’ batteries by exploiting the high precision readouts provided by Firefox on Linux. The capacity of the battery, as well as its level, expose a fingerprintable surface that can be used to track web users in short time intervals. Our analysis shows that the risk is much higher for old or used batteries with reduced capacities, as the battery capacity may potentially serve as a tracking identifier. The fingerprintable surface of the API could be drastically reduced without any loss in the API’s functionality by reducing the precision of the readings. We propose minor modifications to Battery Status API and its implementation in the Firefox browser to address the privacy issues presented in the study. Our bug report Firefox was accepted and a fix is deployed.
New research exposes multiple privacy vulnerabilities

1. The Battery Status API can be used as a short-term identifier

2. High precision charge level values in Firefox allows the recovery of battery capacity as a long-term identifier
New research exposes multiple privacy vulnerabilities

Online Tracking: A 1-million-site Measurement and Analysis

Steven Englehardt
Princeton University
ste@cs.princeton.edu

Arvind Narayanan
Princeton University
arvindn@cs.princeton.edu

This is an extended version of our paper that appeared at ACM CCS 2016.

ABSTRACT

We present the largest and most detailed measurement of online tracking conducted to date, based on a crawl of the top 1 million websites. We make 15 types of measurements on each site, including stateful (cookie-based) and stateless (fingerprinting-based) tracking, the effect of browser privacy tools, and the exchange of tracking data between different sites (“cookie syncing”). Our findings include multiple sophisticated fingerprinting techniques never before measured in the wild.

This measurement is made possible by our open-source web privacy measurement tool, OpenWPM, which uses an automated version of a full-fledged consumer browser. It supports parallelism for speed and scale, automatic recovery from failures of the underlying browser, and comprehensive browser instrumentation. We demonstrate our platform’s strength in enabling researchers to rapidly detect, quantify, and characterize emerging online tracking behaviors.

We also introduce ThirdParty, a novel technique that significantly improves the accuracy of third-party tracking detection. We describe the engineering challenges of building ThirdParty, which is the size of an entire website.

To detect and analyze these trackers, we developed an entirely new infrastructure that relies on our measurement tool to provide information on the identity of the tracker, the method(s) used by the tracker to retrieve data, and the data itself.

to resort to a stripped-down browser (33) (a limitation we explore in detail in Section 3.3). (2) We provide comprehensive instrumentation by expanding on the rich browser extension instrumentation of FourthParty (33), without requiring the researcher to write their own automation code. (3) We reduce duplication of work by providing a modular architecture to enable code re-use between studies.

Solving these problems is hard because the web is not designed for automation or instrumentation. Selenium (the main tool for automated browsing through a full-fledged browser, is intended for developers to test their own websites. As a result it performs poorly on websites not controlled by the user and breaks frequently if used for large-scale measurements. Browsers themselves tend to suffer memory leaks over long sessions. In addition, instrumenting the browser to collect a variety of data for later analysis presents formidable challenges. For full coverage, we’ve found it necessary to have three separate measurement points:
New research exposes multiple privacy vulnerabilities.

Scripts are collecting the battery charge level as part of a fingerprint.
The specification was updated to address privacy vulnerabilities

1. Should avoid high precision readouts
2. Should inform the user when and who is using the API
3. May ask the user for permission
4. May obfuscate or expose fake values
Late 2016: Mozilla proposes removing the API, citing privacy concerns and lack of use
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Question:

“Can anyone point to a real website using the Battery API for a legitimate purpose?”
Late 2016: Mozilla proposes removing the API, citing privacy concerns and lack of use

Question:

“Can anyone point to a real website using the Battery API for a legitimate purpose?”

Conclusion:

“Everyone agrees there are theoretical good things that can be done with the battery API; we just don't observe them being done.”

https://groups.google.com/forum/#!msg/mozilla.dev.platform/5U8NHoUY-1k/9ybyzQIYCAAJ
Early 2017: Several vendors remove or restrict support, citing privacy and lack of use

Restricted to non-web content

Removed from source code

Open bug (unknown?)

Opt-in, otherwise dummy values
Our data supports Mozilla’s decision

We measured usage on the top 50,000 sites

33 third-parties on 815 sites use the API

- 16 used it for tracking
  - Mostly fingerprinting
- 8 used it for benign purposes
  - Mostly performance measurement
- 9 unclassified
How can we improve the privacy review process?
The specification process should include a privacy review of implementations.

Specification requires two implementations to progress.
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Specification requires two implementations to progress.

Why not require a privacy review of these specifications?
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Specification requires two implementations to progress.

Why not require a privacy review of these specifications?

—> Similar precision issues found during privacy review of Ambient Light Sensors API, which included implementation auditing.
API use in the wild should be audited after implementation

Trackers are the early adopters of any new API!
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intended Uses</th>
<th>Unintended Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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### Intended Uses

- Cool Demo
- Early Adopter

### Unintended Uses

Implemented by a tracker
Trackers are the early adopters of any new API!

**Intended Uses**
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Implemented by a tracker
API use in the wild should be audited after implementation.

It’s not clear that the measurement community will continue to support fingerprinting measurement.
API use in the wild should be audited after implementation

It’s not clear that the measurement community will continue to support fingerprinting measurement

**Concerns:**

1. Lack of novelty in measurement techniques
2. Measurement of each new API is a small contribution
3. Specifications can’t wait for the publication cycle
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It’s not clear that the measurement community will continue to support fingerprinting measurement.

**Concerns:**

1. Lack of novelty in measurement techniques
2. Measurement of each new API is a small contribution
3. Specifications can’t wait for the publication cycle

**Suggestions:**

1. Measurement through browser telemetry probes?
2. Regular measurement by browser vendors?
3. Public measurements by an NGO — something like archive.org
Specification authors should carry out privacy assessments with multiple threat models

An example: fingerprinting with the Audio API
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An example: fingerprinting with the Audio API

≈ User’s OS and browser
Specification authors should carry out privacy assessments with multiple threat models

An example: fingerprinting with the Audio API

This is a concern for the Tor Browser!
Thank you!

In summary:

1. Improve incentives for academics to contribute research
2. Include audits of implementations in reviews
3. Audit API use after deployment
4. Carry out analysis in multiple threat models
5. Avoiding over-specification supports innovative solutions
6. Provide guidance for web developers in addition to vendors

Full paper:
https://senglehardt.com/papers/iwpe17_battery_status_case_study.pdf